UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/517,377	12/10/2004	Takayuki Furuta	043082	4713
	7590 07/01/200 I, HATTORI, DANIEL	EXAMINER		
1250 CONNEC	TICUT AVENUE, NV	JEN, MINGJEN		
SUITE 700 WASHINGTO	N, DC 20036		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3664	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/01/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/517,377	FURUTA ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	

		17 (1 4 OE) 1	0004
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
THE R	EPLY FILED <u>17 June 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APF	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.
a f	The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appear Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Coeriods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, which places the with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request
а) [The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	g date of the final rejection.	
b) 🖸	no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection.
have be under 3 set forth may red	MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(cons of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date ten filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exity 7 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the solin in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later duce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) SEE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount of the chartened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropriate extension fee nally set in the final Office action; or (2) as
	The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be t	filed within two months of the date of
f N	ling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter lotice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w DMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a
(The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, ba A) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further con B) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	nsideration and/or search (see NOT	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by materially rec	
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1		ected claims.
4. □	The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		(
6. 🔲 — r	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all on-allowable claim(s).	lowable if submitted in a separate, t	•
† 1 ((For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) low the new or amended claims would be rejected is proving the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-12.		l be entered and an explanation of
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		
8. 🔲 1	The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, busecause applicant failed to provide a showing of good and a source as not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).		
S	The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o howing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea , and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fails to provide a see 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).
	The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation EST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attached.
	The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowance because:
	Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	
	i H Tran/ rvisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3664		

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed amendment/argument regarding upper/lower sole is not persuasive since it would be obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to provide the force measuring tool as mentioned in the reference to the application at the time of the invention since both the reference/application are utilizing force sensor/transducer means to measuring force exerted by body torso toward force sensor/transducer means and further processing corresponding data and therefore the proposed amendment/argument would raise further consideration/search and will not be entered.